NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

40p



RACHMAN REVISITED

PORTRAIT OF A JEWISH LANDLORD

(See Pages 10 and 11)

NATIONALISM TODAY The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Joe Pearce

Editorial Staff:
Nick Griffin, Nick Wakeling, Jim Capes, John Field, Steve Brady.

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T.Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors — and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing, and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published — which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

The Editor is also pleased to receive for possible publication private, non-copyrighted photographs. These should normally be black and white. If unused, they will only be returned if a suitable stamped addressed envelope is provided.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must gain the permission of the Editor and under-take to include with the re-printed article the author's name — and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*.

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 14

MOVING UP A GEAR

REGULAR readers of *Nationalism Today* will have noticed the shorter than normal gap between the publication of this issue and the publication of our last issue. This is due to a change in strategy by the National Front which will lead to the whole Party moving up a gear.

It was announced at the NF's national organisers' conference in January that there would be special efforts made during 1983 to increase the frequency of publication of Party magazines. This would lead to *National Front News* coming out regularly every month and *Bulldog* and *Nationalism Today* coming out every six weeks.

This move is long overdue and can only benefit the cause of radical Racial Nationalism. The more frequent appearance of Party publications will mean that a greater quantity of National Front literature will hit the streets and reach the public at large. It will lead to a far wider dissemination of the Racial Nationalist ideology.

However, it will be pretty pointless for the editorial staff of *Nationalism Today* to move up a gear in order to bring *N.T.* out more often unless all of our readers move up a gear as well. If *N.T.* is to appear more often and reach more people with its message it will require **everybody** involved with the magazine to make extra special efforts.

Branch organisers and other bulk purchasers must increase the size of their regular orders. *N.T.* subscribers and other regular readers should try to help out the magazine, even in these hard times, by making donations of as little or as much as they can afford. And everybody who reads the magazine should try to make it better than ever by contributing letters, articles and ideas to improve its contents.

It is about time that the radical Nationalist movement in Britain had a regular and frequently produced magazine to propagate its message to as many people as possible. Now at last we have it. We will guarantee to bring it out every six weeks from now on, if you guarantee to help us in every way you can.

Bringing out *N.T.* more frequently will mean more work and effort for everyone. But our reward will be the knowledge that our message is reaching more people than ever.

If we can't all move up a gear together and organise ourselves to sell *Nationalism Today* on a more regular basis, how can we seriously claim to be a revolutionary movement capable of actually coming to power in Britain?

Winning power for Racial Nationalism will require effort and hard work. Let's prove to ourselves that we are up to the trials and tribulations ahead. Let's move up a gear and let's move forward together!

Greville Grovels!

Greville Janner, Labour MP for Leicester West and leading member of the Jewish Board of Deputies, is always eager to show his support for Britain's Black communities. Here we reprint two letters which Janner wrote to New Life, *Britain's biggest Asian magazine.*

Dear Sir,

I was sad to read the letter in *New Life*, criticising my involvement with my Jewish community and suggesting that citizens of Leicester West would be better off represented by an Asian. I have proudly served all my constituents for over twelve years, irrespective of their ethnic origin and not least the Asian community. And the idea that a representative should either be chosen or rejected because he is proud of his own origins or religion or culture is not only very wrong, but quite incredible, coming from an Asian. I have visited India five times in recent years and will shortly be returning together with my wife, as guests of the Indian Government. I know that my Indian friends – including the Prime Minister and her family – do not think less of a Member of Parliament because he retains proud service to his own minority group.

Greville Janner,
QC, MP
House of Commons

(New Life, 24-12-82)



Greville Janner with former Indian Prime Minister Mr. Desai

Dear Sir,

Sal Mubarak! I wish you, your staff and your readers a year of happiness and of light.

As the recession deepens and the economy darkens, so we must increase our efforts to ensure that racism and intolerance is banished from British society. I urge your readers during the coming year to join with increasing vigour in the political and civic life of our country and of its cities.

To all who are celebrating Mubarak – and especially, if I may, to your readers in my constituency of Leicester West – I send my fond greetings.

Greville Janner,
QC, MP
House of Commons

(New Life, 12-11-82)

JANNERISMS

Persecution Mania – the Words of a Labour MP as reported in the *Jewish Chronicle.*

"Because we as Jews know what it is like to be persecuted, we are not going to allow others in this country to suffer persecution."

"The Jewish community and the Board of Deputies are totally, entirely and irrevocably committed to racial equality in all its facets."

"We have no wish to be in any disagreement with the Commission for Racial Equality nor to do other than promote its efforts."

"We Jews are extremely sensitive to the breaking down of people into ethnic categories... We object on principle to people being asked what is their ethnic origin."

Calling on the Government, "to recognize the sacrifices and the honour of the State of Israel and its people, in the cause of peace in the Middle East." !!!!

"There has to be a basic binding together of the Jewish community and the Black community."

"Any attack on one racial or ethnic minority is an attack on all minorities. If the black community loses its freedom, then the same will eventually happen to the Jewish community."

WHAT A BANKER!

TRENDY liberals and Reds like to refer to the riots in Brixton as the "Uprising". They say that it was the "spontaneous" reaction of the local community, Black and White, to racist police oppression". It is true that a few degenerate Whites were involved, but a recent court case has provided more evidence that they were NOT local White working-class youngsters.

Timothy Lunn, 24, is the wealthy son of the Chairman of a prestigious City bank. He is now serving a 10 month prison sentence for his part in the riots in 1981.

Lunn, who lives miles away from Brixton in North London, admitted conspiring to have petrol bombs, but denied conspiracy to throw them. Lunn was a social worker at the time of the riots, although the court heard that he had since got an even better-paid job in his daddy's bank.

He told the court that he had driven towards the crowd while on his way to a well-known gay commune in Railton Road. He left his car and mingled with a group making petrol bombs.

"Then they ran out of petrol and a big Black man asked if I had some.

"I said yes. I felt intimidated to say the least. Because I was White and everyone else around me was Black, I was extremely nervous."

Spot the banker

It is interesting to note that the **only** White in the mob was a middle-class outsider. So much for "multi-racial workers' uprisings"!

'BENT' COUNCIL SUPPORTS THE BLACKS

IF you're young, unemployed and living in the London Borough of Brent then here is some good news. The Council's Arts and Entertainment Division is now sponsoring projects run by a youth group called "Young Insight" which aims to alleviate the social problems caused by high unemployment amongst teenagers.

There is one snag, of course. You have to be Black, as Young Insight was set up by and for West Indians. Not content however with Brent Borough's bounty, Young Insight has now sent a begging circular to many London firms asking them to sponsor their first project – a Black Fashion show. The circular's literary style spoke volumes for the intellectual powers of the Blacks running "Young Insight" as they wrote of a 'Fshion Show' by 'West Idians' to be held in the Borough of 'Bent'.

We wonder what will happen to unemployed young Whites in Brent if they were to get organised and ask the Asian and Zionist dominated 'Bent' Council for a grant.

END OF THE LINE FOR BRITISH RAIL?

IT certainly won't be "the Age of the Train" if the Government implements the recommendations of the Serpell Report, published on January 20th. The official committee, chaired by Sir David Serpell, presents a range of options to make British Rail "profitable". All involve swingeing closures with massive redundancies amongst railwaymen, and staggering fare rises for passengers. The most extreme suggestions involve closing down the whole rail network apart from two or three Inter-City routes and a few London commuter lines. (See map.) 40% fare rises for London commuters are also proposed. Serpell also puts profit before lives, suggesting that essential track safety equipment be axed to save money, at the apparently less important cost of more accidents and deaths.

Even this was not enough for Alfred Goldstein, who at the last minute refused to sign the Majority report of his fellow Committee members, writing an even tougher minority report calling for British Rail to be virtually shut down altogether. Goldstein is a friend of Margaret Thatcher's personal economic adviser, Professor Alan Walters. He is also senior partner of transport consultants R. Travers Morgan.

R. Travers Morgan in fact supplied much of the technical information on which the majority Serpell Report was based. Yet this firm, like its boss Goldstein, mainly works on roads and bridges, and has done little work on railways. And if the Serpell Report, or even more so the Goldstein minority report, is implemented, they can expect a lot more work on the extra roads and bridges that will be needed to replace the lost rail transport capacity. Whatever putting this Report into practice may do to the profitability of British Rail, it will clearly do no harm to the profitability of Goldstein's firm! Perhaps that's why the Serpell Report on Railways was so keen to quote a road transport consultancy firm run by one of its members, rather than employing a firm specialising in the rail industry or even listening to the unions representing those who actually work in that industry.

All in all, this is a thoroughly Thatcherite Report, prepared in the interests of their own bank balances by alien Capitalists and blithely unconcerned at the loss of British jobs and British lives in the interests of Profit, public and private. In fact, of course, public utilities like railways are hard to run profitably. In most countries, they do not try to do so. They recognise that the hidden profit in terms of cheaper and faster transport of goods and people, without scarring the land with motorways and flooding the sky with lead petrol exhaust fumes, far outweighs any losses appearing on the balance sheet of the railways. But to this Tory Government and to its hirelings a fast buck now matters more than considerations of longer term national welfare. **Britain today is ruled by Corruption in the interests of Greed.**



DUNDEE WIND-UP

TWO thousand British workers have been thrown onto the dole by a big American owned multi-national company. The workers were made redundant following an announcement by Timex on January 10th of extensive cut-backs at two of its factories in Dundee.

This follows the transfer from Timex in Scotland to Japan of production of the revolutionary Nimslo 3-D camera. It will mean the end of all camera manufacture and assembly and most mechanical watchmaking in Dundee.

Union officials were told of the two thousand redundancies as they prepared to fight this transfer of camera production to Japan. But they received yet another grim blow from their multinational employers when they were told that total closure could follow if the cutback was disrupted by strikes.

A Timex spokesman said that it had withdrawn from Dundee "because of labour relations problems". However, this half-hearted attempt to justify the throwing of hundreds of workers onto the economic scrap-heap was exposed as a lie when a more senior Timex spokesman revealed that the real reason for the cut-backs was a change in "worldwide business conditions".

It is this change in worldwide business conditions which provides the full explanation for the transfer of Timex from Britain to Japan. The fact is that labour costs are considerably cheaper in the Far East then they are in this country and, as a result the profit margins for the owners of multinational companies are far higher in Japan than they ever can be over here.

It is no surprise then that Timex should seek to shift its production to a place where the profits are higher. Profits are, after all, the only things which interests these superpowerful capitalists and they don't care if British workers have to suffer as a result of this relentless thirst for more wealth and power.

Multinational companies are fully international in both operation and influence. They see individual nation states as an obstacle to the maximisation of their profits and, as such, they will always be opposed to the principle of national sovereignty. Where they cannot completely ignore national barriers, as they did in the case of the Timex transfer to Japan, they will use every last bit of their considerable power and influence to have those barriers removed.

COLD HEARTED

THE CRUEL WINTER of last year cost the lives of an estimated 10,000 old age pensioners. In nearly all the cases this was due to hypothermia or other related illnesses stemming from hypothermia (hypothermia is the lowering of the body temperature brought about by extreme cold).

Pensioners, apart from their age, suffer from hypothermia because of their precarious financial position nowadays. Their pensions are ridiculously low; they tend to live in some of our worst housing. The proportion they spend on food and heating (both of which have gone up more than the rate of inflation) is higher than other income groups. They are often faced with the choice of spending their pittance on heating or food.

So today's OAPs, who saw us through two world wars and a depression, either freeze in their homes or get mugged by Blacks in the streets. To the politicians they don't vote as much as the Blacks and other immigrants – and to the Capitalists, they don't produce anything for profit – so neither of them care. Only the National Front does.

NF CALENDARS

£2.50 plus 94p postage from 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

FALKLANDS versus ULSTER

THE SICKENING hypocrisy of the British Government in its handling of the Falklands conflict compared with its handling of the war in Northern Ireland is really disgraceful.

They deployed thousands of troops and the Royal Navy to defend the rights of 1,800 British people in the South Atlantic, yet they are unprepared to deploy the same troops as ruthlessly to defend one million loyal British people in Ulster. **In the Falklands they achieved victory within ten weeks, while in Northern Ireland the war still rages after 13 years.**

Yet the attitude of the bulk of the British people is scarcely any better than that of the Government. For ten weeks the whole of the population sat glued to their television screens for the latest news from the South Atlantic, but there is widespread indifference when news of further IRA killings appear. They seem to forget that all of the regiments who were so successful in the Falklands have also served numerous 'tours' of duty in Northern Ireland. In fact, the Scots Guards had just served an eighteen month tour in Ulster when they were called to the South Atlantic.

A sergeant in the Falklands summed up the attitude of most Britons when he said that "if the Falklands are worth dying for they are worth keeping". Cannot the same be said of Northern Ireland, where more than 2,000 people have been killed since the present troubles started?

Thatcher declared that the war in the Falklands was in support of a vital principle; that the islanders' right to remain British must be defended. Does this not apply to Ulster's million loyal British citizens?

This complete sell-out of the loyalist population by the treacherous British Government must be strongly condemned by all British Nationalists. Indeed the blind indifference of much of the British population to the plight of our British compatriots in Ulster must be similarly condemned.

Ulster has fought for Britain on many occasions in the past, so it is about time that the rest of Britain fought for Northern Ireland. Let it not be forgotten that Northern Ireland Army H.Q. is named Thiepval in memory of 5,786 Ulster volunteers who died there in one day at the Battle of the Somme on July 1st 1916.

They fought and died for Britain, now we must be prepared to fight and die for them. Ulster's fight is Britain's fight. Smash the IRA!

THE DUKE'S RENT ROCKET

THE DUKE of Westminster is reckoned to be Britain's richest man. It is estimated that he has a personal fortune of some £2,000 million.

The Duke is also Britain's premier landowner. He owns over 138,000 of our nation's acres, including 300 acres of London's plush Mayfair, Belgravia and Westminster. Not to mention 100,000 acres of Scotland, 14,000 acres of Wales, 900 acres of Shopshire including a trout farm, as well as his ancestral home, Eaton Hall in Chester, and the 13,000 acres which goes with it.

Abroad, there's a 10,000 acre sheep farm in the Australian outback, some land in British Columbia, a chunk of America's West Coast and a handful of Hawaii.

Readers will remember that in Issue No. 9 we revealed that he had purchased from the Government a property worth £20 million for a mere £23,700. He was able to do this because of a 200 year old "buy back at the original price" agreement drawn up by one of his ancestors. The property in question -- St. George's Hospital – had been closed down as a result of the Tories health service cutbacks.

The Duke was recently involved in another controversy when he raised the rents of his tenants in Belgravia by a staggering 150%.

No doubt the Duke will probably put his extra cash towards the cost of his new helicopter. Not content with his American-built Jet Ranger, he has just splashed out £500,000 on an all weather French Squirrel model.

The Squirrel is built by Aero-spatiale, better known for their manufacture of the Exocet missile.

So much for the patriotism of the aristocracy.

"Landlordism is the negation of property. It is the negation of property that the Duke of Westminster should own whole streets and squares in London; just as it would be the negation of marriage if he had all living women in one great harem."

– G.K. Chesterton.

ALARM AT THE PALACE

FOLLOWING its unscheduled visit from Michael Fagan last year, Buckingham Palace is to spend £750,000 on a new security system. It is being purchased from Israel Aircraft Industries, the Israeli Government firm that also sold the Argentinians combat aircraft during the Falklands War. Isn't it nice to know that the Jews who killed our lads are to guard our Queen?

BRITISH MONEY SENT ABROAD

JACOB ROTHSCHILD, head of the London investment trust RIT & Northern, has bought an option to take control of a fast growing New York investment banking firm.

Rothschild's investment trust and financial services group has signed a letter of intent to inject 30 million dollars into the New York company, which coincidentally also bears the Rothschild family name. The New York company, LF Rothschild, Unterberg, Towbin, is thought to be "very profitable" although no financial records of the firm are ever published.

This latest transfer of investment capital into an American investment bank is all part of RIT & Northern's effort to diversify the range of its business interests. Indeed, RITN is now a very complex animal, an investment trust, but with stakes in investment management, City stockbroking, and now also in New York investment banking.

Mr Francois Mayer, RITN chief executive, justified this latest business move by his company by stating that the company *"was becoming an internationally active and financially coherent group at a time when financial service barriers were coming down"*. He then went on to add that *"we have several times said as a matter of policy we want a major foothold in the USA"*.

Neither is RITN the only financial services group which is investing heavily in the USA. Less than a year ago, the Mercantile House financial services group bought a New York broker called Oppenheimer.

EXPORT OF CAPITAL

There can be no doubt that large scale export of investment capital and widespread diversification of financial activity are all good for business if you are an international financier. It is in the interests of the international bankers, if they are to maximise their profits, to ignore national barriers and to operate on a supra-national level. The trouble is of course that their interests are contrary to the interests of the mass of the people. As long as these immensely rich and powerful financiers are allowed to manipulate the economic system as and how they wish, they will always work against the interests of the general population. In this latest case, 30 million dollars of **British** money which should have been ploughed back into the **British** economy has instead found its way into the pockets of New York Jews. This is self-evidently unjust and must be stopped.

If money produced in Britain is to be spent in Britain the process of exporting vast amounts of capital must cease. But, far more importantly still, if the sovereignty of individual nations and the freedom of the people living within them are to be preserved the whole corrupt international financial system must be destroyed.

Kosher 'Cleopatra' meets the Beirut Butchers

THE recent prancing across the bloody stage of the Middle East by film star Elizabeth Taylor, dripping jewels and "love and peace" platitudes, spotlighted the real role of Hollywood as a Zionist propaganda machine.

The kosher phoney Taylor – she isn't even a real Jewess, having 'converted' to the 'Reform' sect in 1957 in order to marry her third husband Mike Todd – claimed her "mission" was "peace and understanding in the Middle East". In fact, her well-publicised media travelling circus was orchestrated by one Phil Blazer, an American Jew whose motives are considerably less high-flown and a lot clearer. Blazer publishes *Israel Today*, a reactionary pro-Begin Zionist hate sheet circulating on America's West Coast. Shortly he is to launch his own Jerusalem-based English language daily to challenge the Zionist *Jerusalem Post*, which he somehow manages to find "too left-wing and anti-Israel".

Blazer's stated aim in bringing Liz Taylor to the Middle East isn't "love and understanding". It is "to give the World a more positive view of Israel and its involvement in the Lebanese war": In other words to whitewash the sickening butcheries of Begin and his Israeli death squads on the road to Beirut. To this end, Blazer has dragged a galaxy of Hollywood's pampered drones around the Begin Reich: Sammy Davis Jnr. (one of the World's few Negro Jews, which must be good for his career!), Peter Strauss, Brooke Shields (whose career opened, tastefully and not inappropriately, playing a child prostitute) and Jane Fonda (whose 'radicalism' took her to Hanoi to rail against American bombing but stopped well short of West Beirut or any harsh words for Mad Menachem and his napalm bombers).

Liz Taylor herself can have had few qualms about adding her name to Blazer's Beginite propaganda circus. She is well known in America as a keen campaigner for Zionist causes, and this was her fourth visit to the Zionist State itself. But even the most assiduous grovelling to World Jewry on the 'Cleopatra' star's part does not satisfy 'Orthodox' Jews like Begin. They believe that no amount of 'Reform' ritual can make a soulless animal into one of God's Chosen People, the divinely ordained Master Race, whose destiny is to rule the Earth. As far as they are concerned, Elizabeth Taylor is just another *goy*, just one of the cattle, like you and me.

In a blaze of Press flashbulbs, Liz Taylor threw presents to 200 Israeli children in the Tel Aviv Hilton (several were almost trampled to death in the scramble to grab Liz's *largesse*). But she couldn't give anything to the little Palestinian children of the Sabra and Chatilla refugee camps outside Beirut. The "purification squads" of her Zionist sponsors had been there first...

Elizabeth Taylor and 'Friend'

Falklands Blood Money

THE extreme right wing military dictatorship of Argentina has managed to replace all of her supersonic aircraft lost in the Falklands War – thanks to Israel!

The number of aircraft replaced (which were supplied by Israel in the first place) are:

Skyhawks – lost 45 replaced 24
Mirages – lost 27 replaced 32

On top of this our 'friends' in the EEC have also been busy re-stocking the Argies. France has supplied 5 Super Etendard fighter/bombers and large numbers of Exocets. Italy sold them missile carrying helicopters and West Germany supplied them with naval craft.

The Argies will be able to pay for these military supplies thanks to the Banks – including a number of 'British' Banks.

Also in the middle of last year, financial assets frozen at the commencement of hostilities were exchanged by ourselves and the Argies. This benefitted the military junta to the tune of hundreds of millions. It benefitted our financial institutions, speculators and stock exchange sharks also by millions. Needless to say the British people in general and our servicemen in particular didn't benefit at all from this piece of financial skullduggery.

When it comes to the choice between blood or money, the Capitalists of the world have their priorities. Thankfully, we also have ours.

This British serviceman, hideously disfigured during the conflict in the Falklands last year, has already had his sacrifice betrayed.

BANKING ON OIL

MUCH concern has been expressed lately by economic and political experts over the undesirability of lower oil prices. To the man in the street the obvious question must be: What's wrong with lower oil prices?

Everyone knows why oil shot up tenfold in the early seventies. The Western world was faced with the choice of supporting the justifiable aims of the Palestinians or the murdering policies of the Israelis. The West chose the Israelis – and the Arabs made us pay for that choice whilst at the same time making good economic use of their only asset. Needless to say, the oil companies passed on to us all the increases plus a little bit extra to keep their profits up.

Now that the Arabs have started to lower their prices, various pundits, instead of jumping for joy, are full of doom and gloom. The reason for this is our old friend the Banking System.

Apparently a collapse in the price of oil threatens the banks who have lent huge amounts of money to the debt ridden countries of the Third World. The money the Banks have lent out comes principally from the Arabs.

If the price of oil falls, they (the Arabs) will need to call in their money to maintain their economic growth. This will seriously deplete the Banks of hard cash and will deprive them of issuing new 'credit' to the Third World. In turn, because of their financial mess and without new credit, many Third World countries could default on their debts to the Banks. This will then lead to serious disruptions in the Banking world – and that would never do.

So there you have it. The winners in higher oil prices are the Banks (floating on all that Arab oil money) and the losers are British industry and the motorist.

ROCKEFELLER FINANCES COMMUNISM

THE *Wall Street Journal* recently stated that if capitalist banks and governments would stop loaning billions to communist countries they would collapse overnight. Yet top capitalists and international financiers continue to keep the communist regimes afloat simply because they have a vested interest in doing so.

In fact, the international capitalist elite are completely open and even boastful about their support for Marxist governments in China, Eastern Europe, and the Third World. Chase Manhattan Bank Chairman David Rockefeller has even bragged that he was the first to open branch offices in Moscow and Peking.

Neither has Rockefeller any scruples about financing Black Marxist dictatorships in Africa. He is fully aware that these countries are incapable of managing their own economic affairs and this gives him the opening he needs to make a quick financial killing. His attitude towards the Black governments in Africa is paternalistic and extremely patronising: *"The more I've seen of countries which are allegedly Marxist in Africa, the more I have the feeling it is more labels and trappings than reality."*

When asked about Angola which is controlled by 20,000 communist Cuban troops and Soviet 'advisers', Rockefeller said: *"This has not interfered with our banking relations. I found we can deal with just about any kind of government, provided they are orderly and responsible!"*

Those words "orderly and responsible" are central to the world outlook of the Wall Street financial empire. As long as a country is "orderly and responsible" i.e. economically stable, it is unlikely to default on its debts. This is the reason for the Western banks' ambiguous attitude towards the crises in Poland; they pay lip-service to the condemnations which have been emanating from the mouths of most Western politicians while at the same time, in secret, they long for a return to the stability which can only come with the defeat of Solidarity and the return to a strong communist dictatorship. This attitude is openly admitted in financial circles and has been reported in the financial pages of many Fleet Street newspapers.

When it is realised that international banks, such as Chase Manhattan, are the real economic masters of the world it becomes nonsense to suggest that we should look towards Moscow as the singlemost important enemy of "freedom". The massive debts owed by ALL countries, communist and capitalist alike, to the forces of international finance show that the nations of the world are controlled by puppet governments, with financial strings attached, who dance to the tune of the international bankers.

The Jewish Contribution to Civilisation



ATOMIC BOMB	HYDROGEN BOMB	NEUTRON BOMB
Developed by ROBERT OPPENHEIMER — A Jew	Developed by EDWARD TELLER — A Jew	Developed by SAMUEL COHEN — A Jew

DUMP THE CAYMAN ISLANDS!

THE BRITISH Crown Colony of the Cayman Islands, graced with a Royal Visit recently, may have only 17,000 inhabitants, mostly Black. But the Caribbean islands are host to 420 banks, 300 insurance companies and no fewer than 15,000 registered corporate offices. The capital, George Town, is a mass of towering glass and concrete office blocks, a veritable citadel of international finance.

The Caymans have become notorious as a safe place to hide dodgy money. The U.S. Mafia stashes its cash here. So did John De Lorean. And so do the London pornography racketeers. So, also, do big Capitalists from all over the Western World, dodging tax with Cayman bank accounts. Many big banks like Barclays International keep their murkier business on the Caymans.

The reason why these flyblown little islands are such a hit with the murky underside of Capitalism worldwide? Firstly, the secrecy laws. **Not only is no-one obliged to tell you anything about a company or bank account based in the Caymans. It is a criminal offence even to ask! Companies registered in the Islands but actually based in Britain may be liable for UK tax. But there is no way the Inland Revenue can find out how much tax they are liable for. The Caymans are a tax-dodgers paradise.**

The second selling point that brought the mobs and the multinationals to George Town? In the words of Vassel Johnson, the Asian "whizz-kid" who dreamed up the whole Caymans tax haven scheme, they *"play on the stability and good name of being British"*. Hence the glee with which the mobsters and the plutocrats welcomed Her Majesty.

Britain, however, gets nothing whatsoever out of nominal sovereignty over this sewer of organised crime and capitalist fraud. Except the opprobrium of having our "good name" flaunted by pornographers, racketeers and bent businessmen. The Caymans are no use to Britain, even if Britain, or our name, is very useful to them. It's time we left the Islands to the Blacks and the "businessmen" and stopped lending our name and having our flag flying over the rats' nest of George Town, the World Capital of organised greed. This is one bunch of Blacks who are very welcome to their independence right now, whether they want it or not...

TOM ACTON

The Bankers' world is built on sand

IN May 1931 Austria's largest bank, the Kredit Anstalt, collapsed and went bankrupt. This event triggered the financial crisis that led to the Great Depression of the 1930's. In the misery and squalor of these years many political parties that had previously been shunned and despised as 'extremist' and 'not respectable' blossomed and were swept to power, as millions became disillusioned with the established parties, policies and ideals.

Could history repeat itself today? Certainly in the industrial West conditions are in many ways similar, many traditional **markets have been lost, and industrial output is stagnant. Furthermore net international debt liabilities are about ten times greater in real terms than in 1931. Thus the current crisis in international banking is a subject that no serious Nationalist can afford to ignore.**

The international banks got a foretaste of their current problems in 1980 when an increasing number of debtor countries, like Zaire, who had borrrowed millions from them were unable to repay these loans as they fell due. The amounts concerned were small though – mere hundreds of millions of dollars. Then in 1981 the bad news really began. First Turkey couldn't repay debts of $3200 million, and begged to be given more time to pay – or to have the debt "restructured" as bankrupt countries like to phrase it. Then Poland's internal problems suddenly erupted, and their debts of $4900 million had to be rescheduled.

USURIOUS GREED

By then the problems of the international banks were becoming clear: for years usurious greed had led them to lend vast sums of money, possibly as much as a million million dollars since 1970, to countless nations around the world. Many countries are in no position ever to repay their debts. The Eastern bloc nations owe over $100 billion, yet this is largely overshadowed by the $600 billion plus owed by the ramshackle banana-republics of the Afro-Asian Third World.

Turkey proves that debt rescheduling merely postpones problems and doesn't solve them. They first rescheduled a relatively small amount in 1979 for three years. In 1982 they still could not repay these debts – which were rescheduled for another three years. Guess what will happen to them in 1985.

By the summer of 1982 the bankers' problems were giving them real nightmares. Argentina, bankrupted by its Falklands' fiasco, admitted it could not repay debts estimated to be about $40 billion, and asked for time to pay. Even this appeared insignificant compared with Mexico, who were pleading to have the staggering debt of $80 billion rescheduled. Mexico was simply too big to be declared in default by the banks The amounts owed to many U.S. Banks formed such a large part of their nominal assets that many might well have become insolvent (including the mighty Chase Manhattan) had they admitted debts had "gone bad".

IMF "ADVICE"

Instead the banks took their only real option and gave Mexico time to pay, despite the fact that Mexico had ignored IMF "advice" and introduced nationalist economic measures. President Portillo nationalised Mexico's banks and imposed strict currency controls.

Worse was to come in mid-December. Brazil outdid even Mexico with total debts of $90 billion, of which $11 billion was repayable in the last few days of the month. Rather than face the fact that they had



poured $90 billion into a bottomless pit the international bankers organised a hurried "rescue" operation. Twenty-nine central banks and 1400 commercial banks raised enough money to lend to Brazil to enable it to repay its most pressing debts. Brazil had passed 'Go' and collected another bundle of Monopoly money!

Brazil repaid this generosity by telling the banks that it had unilaterally decided to postpone its 1983 debt repayments. Then early in January 1983 Rumania told the banks that it too was going to postpone its 1983 repayments. The boot went in again as Cuba announced that it was not going to repay any debts for the next three years. Again the banks weren't consulted about the move, but were presented with a *fait accompli.*

The banks are now realising that they are losing control of their foreign loans. Once debtors went cap-in-hand to them begging to be given time to pay. Now debtors are simply telling the banks they are postponing payment, knowing that the banks can't afford to declare them in default, for the banks would have to admit that some of the largest assets in their Balance Sheets were valueless. Worse yet for the banks, Argentina is reputed to have seriously considered defaulting on its debts. Where Argentina leads other may follow – and a spate of defaulting nations would spell disaster for many banks.

FINANCIAL CHAOS

Large scale defaults will almost certainly precipitate financial chaos. Banks just won't have the money to lend to domestic industries. Runs on bank funds will mean that depositors – including the 'man in the Street' who has his savings invested in his local bank – won't be able to withdraw their money since it's their money that the banks will have lost. Nothing will shatter the smug political complacency of the British bourgeoisie more completely than finding their bank accounts to be worthless.

Top bankers are desperate to prop up the system. According to the Deputy Governor of the Bank of England 'Every institution, no matter how small, should participate in the rescue (of Mexico) for the sake of the international financial system as a whole'.

The banks are fighting hard to survive. They cut back heavily on the loans they made to Afro-Asian countries in the second half of 1982, and in January 1983 thirty-five top banks including Chase Manhattan (Surprise! Surprise!) formed a "club" to provide information and take joint action on defaulting nations. Pressure is also being applied to Western governments to step up the levies they pay to the IMF, who will then advance defaulters the funds to pay off the private banks. It's also no coincidence that the *North-South Report* which advocated that massive aid be given to the Third World was produced by ex-merchant bankers Edward Heath and Willi Brandt, and Kuhn Loeb banker Peter Peterson. "Aid" given to the likes of Brazil, Mexico and Zaire will merely be used to pay off their debts to the bankers!

As people start losing faith in the present system the NF must develop and expound its financial policies, including the nationalisation of banks and the introduction of effective exchange control regulations. Only then will the National Front be seen as capable of solving Britain's problems.

ROCKING THE REDS

Rock Against Communism fanzine. Issue 3 out now. Send 40p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

MICHAEL WALKER

OXBRIDGE: "Why I Hate You"

The 19th Century radical and the father of British Social Nationalism, William Cobbett, was disgusted by the colleges of Oxford University attacking "the drones that they contain and the wasps that they send forth". Oxford and Cambridge Universities ("Oxbridge") have changed to some extent since then, but Michael Walker shares many of Cobbett's views.

OXBRIDGE you were once respected throughout the world and administered the largest empire in the world. The nation's battles were won, or so they tell us, on the playing fields of your private schools where the fagging system taught you how to treat the darkies and how to get by without women.

But Oxbridge, no-one respects you today. You assist in the creation of an Establishment that is vain without cause to be so, enthused with an insufferable self righteousness. In the civil service and the banking world you are now only avid for promotion, toadying before the God Money.

Oxbridge, I am ashamed that grown men in the Establishment, judges and policemen grovel before you. Whoever heard of an Oxbridge man "falling down stairs" whilst in police custody?

Oxbridge, what I hate about you is the way that you have turned socialism into a family feud between you and Daddy, made left-wing politics another of your "rags", and a game for wealthy diletantes who want to "show off to the prols".

Oxbridge, in your University Union you "deplore" outdated attitudes. When you walked in the halls of privileges in evening dress, there was a sort of appalling dignity about you; now you walk in the same halls dressed in Oxfam raincoats, you are merely loathsome.

In your rooms at Public School you stick up posters of Lenin and Marx, Martin Luther King and Malcom X.

SHAM

Oxbridge, I hate you because there is nothing true in you. You are a SHAM in everything you do, from the way you dress to the fashionable ideas you espouse. When you reach the position that Daddy always had ready for you (Patient Papa! He knew it was a phase, he loved you even when you had long hair, read *Socialist Worker* and called him a 'capitalist exploiter'.) as director of the firm, will you still have those posters in your room, still be "outrageous" in your politics?

Oxbridge, what I hate about you are the hundred thousand Flunkeys, who control Britain from their rat holes in the city of London and from their offices in Brussels, who live at company expense – the people's expense – who have never had to worry about getting a job but who have erected miles of grey corridors in our towns to intimidate those who do, who believe in private enterprise so long as the private enterprise is big enough but who tie up every small business and family concern in so many tonnes of bureaucratic terror on paper, that no-one dare start up a small business... unless he has a legal adviser, an accountant – the whole army of parasites of Oxbridge to assist him.

Oxbridge, I hate the way you condemn racism from the sanctuary of the country; work on property development schemes that have turned the centre of our cities into wastelands when you live yourself in the very finest houses, away from the mess you create.

CAREERISTS

Oxbridge, what I hate about you are the financial and political careerists who make a fortune out of milking the country, who think they are superior because they are on christian name terms with parvenu poets and carpet-bagging politicians, claiming to be "well educated" but speaking an up market journalese in accent sterilised sufficiently not to be too 'plum' but sufficiently 'plum' not to be provincial.

Oxbridge, what I hate about you is your complacency in a world for which you are supposed to be responsible, the sneering attitude of superior knowledge you put on towards every idea, every passion, every yearning that is unselfish. Oxbridge, do you care for anything at all other than personal aggrandisement?

Oxbridge, I hate you because you are never ashamed. Your very existence ought to make you blush.

Oxbridge, what I hate about you is your pseudo-intellectualism which hides a vacuity of thought. Oxbridge, there isn't an original idea in your head. The humblest Welsh sheep farmer understands life better than you, is more worthy of life than you are.

Oxbridge, what I hate about you is the way you bluff your way through life by remembering names, the names of the artists who are "in", the swindlers and tricksters of "modern art", the experts (**your** experts!) who act as guardians of taste for the nation, the illiterate and meaningless babble of the modern novel (printed by **your** publishers), the smug assumption that all is right in the world because all is right for you personally.

GANGRENOUS

Oxbridge, what I hate about you is the way that you think London is the centre of the world and deny your own origins. London, the centre of American rule over Europe, the gangrenous centre of the British nation, the home from home of the national and international parasites, the heart of the modern age, rotten with the pornographic self indulgence of a corrupt and effete ruling class.

Oxbridge, I hate you because you are the stock exchange and the E.E.C. and the centre of every disruptive and corruptive force in the country; a hundred thousand rats gnawing at the national cheese.



THE DEGENERATE FACE OF OXBRIDGE. Millionaire's son James Sainsbury; his snobbish ways and liberal attitudes make him unfit to lead the Britain of tomorrow.

Oxbridge, if you still had style or charm you might have an excuse. You have not and you fill me with nausea.

Oxbridge, you are no longer fit to lead this people, and you have no right to be the elite.

Oxbridge, you are not worthy of your inheritance. You are not worthy of the British people.

BUY N.T. IN BULK!

10 copies £ 3.50 + 94p post
20 copies £ 6.40 + £1.57p post
30 copies £ 9.60 + £1.57p post
40 copies £12.80 + £1.90p post
50 copies £14.50 + £1.90p post
60 copies £17.40 + £2.10p post
70 copies £20.30 + £2.10p post
80 copies £23.20 + £2.25p post
90 copies £26.10 + £2.25p post
100 copies £26.00 + £2.40p post
200-499 copies: 23p each)Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 20p each for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

National Front News

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £9.00.

JOE PEARCE

RACHMAN

LORD PITT, Britain's first ever Black "peer", was guest speaker at a recent Zionist luncheon. In his speech he told the assembled Jews that he hoped they would work closely with the West Indian community in the fight to "eliminate discrimination".

According to a *Jewish Telegraph* report Lord Pitt "recalled that on arrival the biggest discrimination was in housing. Jewish landlords had come to a rescue." Clearly Pitt forgets that it was a Jewish landlord named Rachman who set new standards for the unscrupulous exploitation of Immigrant slum tenants, using Black heavies with Alsatian dogs, and thus earned himself immortality in the pages of the Oxford English Dictionary as a by-word for crookedness and intimidation.

He also appears to be unaware that, even these days, some of the worst and most ruthless landlords in Britain are still Jewish. They force their unfortunate tenants, regardless of their colour, to live in appallingly squalid surroundings and are completely oblivious to any calls for assistance.

One such person is Sighismund Berger, probably the biggest and most powerful private landlord in London. A conservative estimate of the extent of his property empire would be something in excess of 25,000 flats.

The Berger empire has been built up steadily since the end of the Second World War; first by Gerson Berger, Sighismund's father, and then by Sighismund himself. And, like his father before him, he is keeping up the Jewish tradition of being an unscrupulous and uncaring landlord.

Every Berger tenant has the same remarkably consistent story to tell – total lack of maintenance; serious disrepair; incompetent, sometimes vindictive, management; and poor workmanship on the rare occasions when repairs are actually done.



Thurleigh Court—35 years of Berger neglect.

SHADY

So let's look more closely at the Berger empire and, more importantly, let's look at the shady characters who own it.

Gerson Berger built up the empire between 1944 and 1974. A "refugee" from Rumania in the 1920s, he ran a factory making torch batteries during World War II, and put the profits into property. The first purchase was in Merton in 1944. In 1947 the operation rapidly expanded.

Berger's technique was to borrow the money to buy a block of flats or row of houses, wait for a couple of years for the investment to appreciate, then used the enhanced value as security for a second loan. This would repay the first and the balance would be used to buy more property.

Thus the Berger family became multi-millionaires without doing a single day's honest work. Today their empire consists of thousands upon thousands of flats throughout the whole of London. Open University researcher Chris Hamnett has identified 1,963 flats in 34 blocks **in three London boroughs alone** – Camden, Westminster and Kensington and Chelsea. On top of this another Berger-owned company (Yorkbrooks Investments) owns another 50 blocks in London, containing at least 2,500 flats. However, the total number of Berger-owned flats is not known because of the huge network of companies set up nominally to own the properties. Something in excess of 25,000 flats is probably the most accurate estimate.

ASSET-STRIPPED

In the property business, as Sighismund Berger has shown, it helps if the full price isn't paid in the first place. Many blocks were bought in job lots from companies which had fallen on hard times. Others were simply asset-stripped from undervalued companies.

But if Berger is good at property speculation and asset-stripping he is not so good at looking after his tenants. Living in a Berger-owned flat is sheer misery.

Marge Phillips lives in Six Hall Road, a Berger-owned block in St John's Wood. Berger's Heritable Investments bought the block in the early sixties, but Mrs Phillips reckons the really bad decline started in the early seventies. "Suddenly there were never any porters on duty, the hallways became dirty and all regular maintenance stopped."

Chiswick Village in Gunnersbury is one of Berger's biggest blocks. It was bought for £1,200,000 in 1971 by Yorkbrooks Investments, a subsidiary of Reliable Properties, one of Berger's two public companies. The owners have done no major works whatsoever since they bought it. The heating is unreliable, the hallways are in a poor state, there are various leaks, damp patches and rotted floorboards.



The tenants of Thurleigh Court in Clapham are living in appalling conditions. The distinctive thirties architecture of the block merely serves to illustrate its state of disrepair. The fifty-two flats have been owned by Berger companies for thirty-five years and a few tenants can remember repairs being done. The catalogue of decay is depressingly long: dampness, leaking roofs, rusty window frames, falling plaster and dangerous wiring. An electricity board official, commenting on the wiring in the block, said: "What you really need is a fire to show how dangerous it is."

TENANTS SUFFER

Tenants suffer from frequent loss of the services which the landlords have contracted to provide: heating, hot water supply,

REVISITED



lifts and cleaning of the shared areas. Garry Strudwick, a founder member of the Thurleigh Court Action Group, says: "One woman had to be carried down from the third floor twice a week to go to hospital because the lift didn't work. Several ceilings have fallen down and some flats are shockingly cold." Indeed, last October the landlords failed to turn on the heating so the tenants have had to spend the long winter months in the cold.

One lift has been out of action for over a year, the leaks remain unplugged, the dampness uncured. "The only answer to our problems is to be allowed to take on the building ourselves as a co-op," said Garry Strudwick, but with no government money available and a reactionary Tory council, there's little likelihood of that happening.

The tangled web of the Berger property empire spans hundreds of companies. Family head Sighismund Berger, himself a director of 173 companies, uncle Hasias Laufer is on 121 companies, brother-in-law Heinrich Feldman 81, Samuel Berger 63, and Berish Berger 54.

A number of these companies (reckoned to total 350 by the *Evening News* in 1972) are in fact "charities", and it is their "charitable" status which stands at the heart of the intricate pattern of property ownership. These "charities" are the embodiment of the Berger family's messianic Jewish beliefs. They are the ends for which the Bergers justify the misery they inflict upon their thousands of tenants.

The "charities" were set up in the early sixties. They are the Gerson Berger Association, the Shulem Berger Association and Truedene. Their aims and objectives are ostensibly to promote "traditional Judaism" through supporting a number of religious schools in North London.

"DONATIONS"

Of the three, only the accounts for Truedene present a fairly recent and seemingly realistic picture of income accrued through rents. In 1980 Truedene received "donations" (in other words, income from its property owning subsidiaries and investments) of £928,210.

Income from the Shulem Berger Association is less easy to ascertain because, in contravention of company law, this Berger "charity" company has not filed any accounts at the Companies Registration Office since 1976. Its income in that year amounted to only £33,598. This is a curiously low figure considering that its investments include part ownership of two public (i.e. stock exchange quoted) companies Reliable Properties and Palmerston Investment Trust.

The governors of all these "charities" are the same – Sighismund, Samuel and Berish Berger, Hasias Laufer and an American Jew called Milton Gross. The charities do not own or control the property companies outright, but operate through a complex system of holding companies, subsidiaries and subsidiaries of subsidiaries.

Why is the Berger property empire so complex and intricate? To confuse the tax inspector and the tenant may be one explanation, but their race and the nature of their religious beliefs might provide a more realistic, if not surprising, answer.

Profits from property dealing and rents of Berger tenants ultimately find their way into one or other Berger "charity". These "charities" exist to finance the Sotmar sect, an aim so vital to the Bergers that they go to extraordinary lengths to finance support for it.

The Sotmars are an ultra-orthodox Chassidic sect, part of the revivalist Jewish movement which grew up in Eastern Europe in the 18th Century.

This centuries-old origin is still reflected in the dress of the Sotmars, whose clothes are in style of the Polish gentry of 250 years ago, with huge fur-trimmed hats and flowing black garments for the men (Sotmar women are rarely seen outside the home).

The Sotmar community in Britain, of which the whole Berger family are members, is based in Stamford Hill and also in Manchester.

PERSECUTION COMPLEX

A spokesman for the Chief Rabbi's office, with knowledge of the Sotmar sect, observed: "It's the psychology of the squirrel. Their methods of dividing their assets is intensely protective and should be seen in the context of people coming from a background of persecution and insecurity."

So here we have the old Jewish persecution complex raising its ugly head again. Yet the only persecutors in this case, regardless of what the Chief Rabbi's office might say, are the Berger family themselves. And the only "people coming from a background of persecution and insecurity" are Berger's unfortunate tenants.

The truth of the matter is that Sighismund Berger and the rest of his co-religionists believe themselves to be superior to the Gentiles in the eyes of God. This feeling of superiority very easily leads to contempt for the Gentile people as a whole, and that includes the thousands of tenants in Berger property.

To Sighismund Berger his tenants are merely the *goyim* he uses to raise funds for his Jewish beliefs. Why should he spend money on much-needed and long-overdue repairs when the money could be given to the local synagogue?

And this is something Lord Pitt should think about before he makes any more speeches in favour of Jewish landlords. Whilst he may agree with the Jews in their hatred of the National Front, he should be reminded that thine enemy's enemy is not necessarily thy friend...



A not-so-sweet home in Thurleigh Court.

DEREK HOLLAND

THE NAME IS BOND—BROEDERBOND

HISTORY demonstrates that any war, drawn out and prosecuted largely by a civilian population, is likely to be extremely bitter, not only in attitudinal terms, but also in the methods used to secure victory.

The Boer war, formally ended in 1902 by the Treaty of Vereeniging, is a case in point. The hostilities generated in this conflict may be gauged from the fact that 26,000 Afrikaners died of disease and ill-treatment in British concentration camps. Clearly the bitterness of a defeated Afrikaner nation was not going to dissipate overnight, but it might have been expected that Anglo-Boer rivalry would have long since passed into the annals of History. So why is a war, almost beyond living memory, still being used as a pretext to divide White South Africa?

No doubt, individual families have cultivated hatred of the other community for generations, but Time has eroded such isolated cases into insignificance. No, the division of Afrikaner and English speaker is the product of a frenzied effort to erect barriers, in every facet of daily life, between the two peoples. The principle cause of this artificial division is the Afrikaner Broederbond. Some have taken, at face value, former Bond Chairman Henning Klopper's statement: *"Who is the Republic? It is the Broeders of the Broederbond."*, whilst others have unthinkingly attacked its claim to embody the Afrikaner Soul.

HISTORICAL CIRCUMSTANCES

Before we examine the Bond, we need to appreciate the historical circumstances that fostered its formation.

During the nineteenth century, it became the policy of the powers behind the British Empire to make Africa, from the Cape to Cairo, a British possession. Naturally, Afrikaners declared that they didn't want their culture anglicized by the lackeys of Cecil Rhodes and Lord Alfred Milner, men revered by reactionaries as the quintessence of British Nationalism. Accordingly the Boers left British administered territories and set up independent Afrikaner Republics. British Imperialists, their eyes fixed upon the immense wealth of the continent, found this situation unacceptable and proceeded to reverse it.

A few more words must be said about "British Imperialism". Some nationalists will not countenance any criticism of the British Empire because they insist it constitutes an unwarranted attack upon our forefathers. **The fact is the Empire had precious little to do with our people or the national interest. Whilst capitalist magnates, backed by Jews like the Rothschilds, used British military force and Our Good Name to get their grubby hands on the wealth of others, our ancestors were being ruthlessly exploited. Workhouses, slum housing, poverty, child exploitation, forceable eviction and brutality, these were the lot of ordinary British folk. Whilst a Gang of Capitalists and Jews plundered the world in the name of the British people, the British people were clinging to life in a capitalist hell-hole.**

Nationalism means cherishing and preserving our People, Heritage and Culture; it implicitly means cultural respect for those of other Nationalisms. Imperialism, however, epitomizes the destruction of **our** Ideal of National and Cultural autonomy. Gilbert Chesterton defined Imperialism as *"Conquest of barbarians, employment of barbarians, conquest by barbarians"*. For this reason, he and Hilaire Belloc championed the right of the Afrikaners to maintain their agrarian Republic against a grasping capitalo-Jewish Press gang. We too must condemn the war of aggression directed, in our name, against the Boers.

REVENGE

Understandably the defeated Boers sought revenge. One method employed was *Jong Suid Afrika* which arose to combat Milner's attempt to anglicize Afrikanerdom out of existence. The J.S.A. began as a cultural organisation, but it attracted the hatred of the Milner clique, forcing it in 1921 to become the secret Afrikaner Broederbond.

Despite its declaration to the contrary, the Broederbond is a form of Freemasonry; its attacks upon Freemasonry are similar to the attacks of Trotskyites upon Stalinists... differences of detail, not essence.

By 1979 membership of the Broederbond had risen to 12,000, an elite concentrated within the political, economic, ecclesiastical, educational, military and news dissemination hierarchies of the country. Even in 1958 Henning Klopper boasted: *"We are part of the State, we are part of the Church, we are part of every big movement."*

According to the Bond's document *Professions and Ages Breakdown* 20% of members are in teaching, 7% in the Church and 4% in public service. The South African Parliament has 165 seats, yet 186 members describe themselves as professional politicians, this means that at any given time Parliament is saturated with Broeders. The Bond has given every Prime Minister since 1948, whilst only two of P.W. Botha's current cabinet are **not** Broeders. The document also reveals that members included 143 senior officers of the Armed Forces, including Generals Malan and Van den Bergh, 518 senior Civil Servants, 16 newspaper managers, 22 newspaper editors and 49 broadcasting officials. The power of the organisation is unquestionable.

NOT GENUINE

This being so, why is White South Africa divided, why is the media so corrupt and why is Harry Oppenheimer allowed to ride roughshod over South African national interests? These questions are unanswerable until one recognises the Broederbond is **not** a genuine Nationalist organisation. Given the Bond's confidence in Botha, and given that capitalo-Jewish imperialism continues to advance unopposed, we must conclude that the aims of the Broederbond and the Oppenheimers are substantially similar, for the Bond has the power to put Jewry in its place, yet chooses not to do so.

Dr. J. D. du Toit, advocate of Boer power and culture. His principles have been betrayed by the Broederbond.

Although the original Broederbond was an admirable organisation, it has clearly become corrupt. Broederbond Founder Member Lourens Van Niekerke admitted as much: *"We formed the Broederbond as a kind of counterpart to societies and clubs which, in those days were exclusively English speaking... there was nothing sinister about the Broederbond IN THOSE DAYS."*

The Bond became corrupt because it maintained the veil of secrecy long after it was morally or tactically necessary. So long as Afrikanerdom was being persecuted by British Imperialists the Bond's secrecy was justifiable, but can anyone seriously claim that the British still pose a threat to Afrikanerdom?

Jean Ousset observed that **all** societies that adopt the veil of secrecy through necessity only remain loyal to their principles, if they dispense with secrecy as soon as practicable:

"This kind of set-up is bound to give a kind of priority to inclinations towards intrigue, duplicity or deceit... the systematic shackling of conscience, and the use of language to conceal rather than reveal thought, become a sort of duty. One comes to have few scruples about methods, and conscience tends to become exceedingly elastic."

The Broederbond-National Party link begun in 1932, resulted in a NP election victory in 1948. This victory signalled Afrikaner supremacy, yet the Bond maintained its secrecy claiming that a period of consolidation was an imperative.

LOYAL

The 1960 referendum called by Dr. Verwoerd to determine whether the population wanted to remain in the British Commonwealth or become a Republic, resulted in a Republican victory. If English-speakers were inveterate opponents of Afrikanerdom, as the Bond claimed, they would have rejected Republicanism. However, the charisma of

Dr. Verwoerd and the fact that English-speakers were first and foremost loyal South Africans, won the day. Objectively the Bond's secrecy was now superfluous, yet it remained, Klopper arguing: *"Who will give us the government of the country? Who will give us our future P.M's? Who is to mould them?"*

The real reason was revealed by Dr. Piet Meyer: *"The Afrikanerization of the English-speakers is an educational task. It entails the English-speakers accepting the Afrikaner outlook and philosophy as his own."*

The motives of the Bond had switched from **defending** Afrikanerdom to **persecuting** English-speakerdom. The depth of hatred felt for **our** descendants was epitomised by Broeder Andries Treurnicht, leader of the phoney-nationalist "Conservative Party", who utterly deplored the intermarriage of Afrikaners and English-speakers, close racial cousins. **Communism continues to advance, Oppenheimer continues to rot the heart of the Nation in the name of "Free Enterprise" and the USA continues to conspire against the Republic's independence, but don't worry, Treurnicht and the Broederbond will get to grips with the menace of English-speakerdom!**

The murder of Verwoerd in 1966 led to John Vorster becoming Prime Minister; immediately the "granite policies" of Verwoerd, resistance to Capitalism, Communism and Zionism, gave way to Vorster's policy of pragmatism. This meant the abandonment of Rhodesia, appeasement in South West Africa, and the breakdown of apartheid. War erupted in the NP in 1967/68 between *verkramptes* like Marais and Stofberg, who adhered to Verwoerdist policies, and *verligtes* who supported Vorster. This serious breach of Afrikaner unity meant that the decisive factor in resolving the issue was going to be the Broederbond. The crisis was a perfect opportunity to demonstrate the Bond's loyalty to its roots, but the Bondsraad firmly sided with Vorster's sell-out politics; this was definitive proof that the Broederbond had become an **enemy** of Afrikanerdom.

PURGE

The crisis resulted in the formation of the Herstigte Nasionale Party. Following the young, but unprepared HNP's rout in the 1970 election, the purge against HNP broeders began. Broeders were given a choice: the Bond or Hersigte Nasionale. Of the 9,000 Broederbond "patriots" only 200 had the integrity to support authentic Afrikanerdom, an indictment as tragic as it is damning.

Interestingly the Broederbond prohibition on HNP supporters was recently rescinded. Could the fact that the HNP gained 14% of the vote in the last General Election, seriously challenging the position of the Broederbond controlled NP, have anything to do with this *volte face*.

The Broederbond, like all secret societies, is an enemy of South Africa. It represents the Treason of the Enemy Within... it must be exposed and destroyed!

The future of South Africa can only be guaranteed when Briton and Boer stand shoulder to shoulder against their mutual enemies. We call upon all loyal South Africans to unite behind the banner of the H.N.P.



PROTECTING THE LAND

THERE ARE some people who look at vast, unspoiled forest and see only the profit to be made in cutting down the trees for lumber. But there are also those who gaze upon the same scene and see only the wonder and beauty of Nature.

Bill and Sandra Speiden of Piedmont, Virginia, belong to this second group.

For when developers recently offered them 2 million dollars for the rights to mine the uranium that geologists say 'probably' lies under the dairy pastures of their farm, they turned the offer down. The Speidens and 500 other members of the Piedmont Environmental Council were worried about the possible dangers which may accompany any mining of the radioactive mineral.

In their campaign they cited the experience of farmers who have seen large-scale uranium mines destroy prime agricultural lands out in America's mid-West. This experience has included dying livestock, radioactive and heavy-metal pollution of streambeds and rich farmlands, depletion of water tables, and the rising risk of lung cancer, leukemia and genetic deformities.

The Speidens have an appreciation of the worth of Nature that even $2 million can't buy. Said Sandra Speiden: "The bottom line is, we feel that we are stewards of the land and feel very strongly that we should leave it better than we found it."

NT wishes the Speidens and their neighbours every success in defending the Virginia countryside from being raped by soulless capitalist money-grabbers whose only concern is making a fast buck – even at the expense of environmental safety and sanity.

NATO BLACKED

THE NEW American representative on NATO's Military Committee is Roscoe Robinson, Junior, an American Negro. When Ronald Reagan nominated him for this top NATO post, Robinson got a promotion from Lieutenant-General to General, making him the U.S. Army's first Black four-star general. British troops in Germany as part of NATO forces will now come under the authority of this American Black.

Meanwhile Major-General Titus Hall, Negro Commander of Lowry U.S. Air Force Base near Denver, Colorado, was forced to resign the service last summer. Using America's Freedom of Information Act, journalists have now found out why.

According to a USAF investigative report on him, Hall, amongst other things, had a habit of getting roaring drunk in his office and throwing ashtrays and other objects at his subordinates. He also turned up drunk one evening at the gates of his Base and screamed abuse at the guards.

Maj.-Gen. Hall also used Air Force funds to buy tickets for himself and family for a National Association for the Advancement of Colored People convention in Denver. He then ordered U.S. Air Force personnel to use USAF vehicles for chauffeuring delegates to this 'Black Power' meeting around the city. Finally, the 54-year-old Negro used his rank to pressure White female Air Force personnel to have sexual relations with him, publically accusing two of them of being lesbians when they refused his advances.

AMERICA UBER ALLES

A DETAILED analysis of the impact on ordinary Americans of President Reagan's policies, has concluded that the rich are getting richer and the poor are getting poorer.

The report, by the Urban Institute, reveals that the top wage earners in the US have increased their standard of living by 25% – whilst those on low incomes have seen their's decreased by 5.5% since Reagan took office.

Reagan's economic policies have resulted in millions of White people – the "new poor" – slipping below the poverty line. And as the jobless total rises and welfare benefits are cut further, the new poor population of the US is set to keep on growing.

None of this matters to Reagan. He is far too interested in spending out on defence projects such as the Cruise and Trident missiles, war in space and "winning" a limited nuclear war.

The White workers of America will have to take a distant back seat, whilst the crazy Reagan pursues his crazy policy of "America Uber Alles".

NATIONALIST BOOKS

The NF's mail order book service. A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists and Racialists. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

STEVE BRADY

STOCK EXCHANGE—LEGAL SWINDLE

A GROUP of shady City speculators escaped a bid to bring them to book for preying on ordinary members of the public when a fraud trial at the Old Bailey collapsed in January. The defendants, led by notorious Stock Market shark Sir Bruce Tuck, of the Jewish Raphael Tuck greetings card family, had deliberately set out to ensnare small investors in the dubious "commodities futures" City racket with the aim of relieving them of their savings through huge hidden "premiums". But the trial Judge, describing the City commodities racket as "a jungle", ruefully conceded that there was no law against their activities and had to let them go.

The City sharks concerned were swimming in the murky waters of the "commodity futures" market. Originally, this was a way for users of raw materials to pay in advance at current prices for the raw materials they needed in the future, thus legitimately insuring themselves against sudden price rises and guaranteeing the raw materials producers a market in advance. But speculators soon realised firstly that if they struck a future deal for a commodity — copper, sugar, potatoes or whatever — and when that commodity was actually delivered its current price was higher than it had been when they struck the deal, they could resell the commodity at a fat profit. Secondly, they could take advantage of the fact that they need only pay 10% of the agreed price when they struck the deal.

MODEST OUTLAY

Thus for a relatively modest outlay they buy, say a million tons of a commodity at £1 a ton for £100,000 down to be delivered next month. On the delivery date, if prices had gone up to £1.50 a ton, they sell the lot off at that price, grossing a £1.5 million, pay the rest of the £1 million specified in the original futures deal, and stroll off whistling with a cool £500,000 profit. All without getting their hands dirty, setting eyes on the goods in question or, indeed, moving from the end of the telephone.

Of course, if the price of their commodity falls rather than rises between deal and delivery date, the slickers are in *"shtuck"*, landed with a big loss. But the skilled City slicker usually knows which commodities are 'winners' worth backing, and is rich enough to cover the odd loss which would wipe out a small investor. The whole thing is a sort of Stock Market equivalent of playing the 'gee-gees', and about as economically productive.

GLOSSY BROCHURES

What Tuck and his friends were doing was even safer. They were going round 'cold canvassing' — conning small investors with glossy brochures into putting up the cash for their risky futures deals, luring them into the shark-infested waters with tempting promises of vast wealth to be gained. Few of the ordinary folk who thus put up the *'ante'* for Tuck & Co.'s City gambles understood what they were getting into, and many lost the lot when a duff commodity fell at the first financial fence. But sly Sir Bruce and his cronies were onto a certain winner. They could not lose, since they weren't gambling with their own money. And if they won, they raked off a fat premium on their backers' winnings, a premium averaging 60% and ranging up to 129% of the original cash they had parted with. As the Judge, Mr. Justice Bax, Q.C., pointed out at the trial: "The dangers to the small investor, even in the absence of any fraud at all, are frightening. These dangers to the small investor arise from the whole state of commodity dealing in this country."

But in fact there was plenty of fraud, it was alleged. When the Department of Trade and the Fraud Squad closed down the swish Belgravia offices of Tuck's Bahama-based Miller-Carnegie company, the enterprise concerned, they found the company wasn't even registered under the Companies Act. Nor had it had a U.K. bank account for its first eight months, cash being slipped quietly off to the Caribbean, and the Fraud Squad men were told lies about another bank account. The City sharks were also involved in "another sinister transaction".

SORDID

But the Court discovered when the sordid swindle came to trial that there was in fact no law against it at all. Anyone can set himself up as a "commodity broker", con ordinary folk out of their life savings and lose the lot in an afternoon on the futures market, or charge them most of what sum they do get back in "premiums". They don't even have to keep their own money separate from that of their victims. It's all perfectly legal, a lawful part of our good old free market Capitalist economy.

So after 59 days and a cost to the taxpayer estimated at over £500,000, Sir Bruce Tuck and his friends swaggered out of the dock scotfree, their wallets bulging with money swindled out of thousands of British folk, who will never see their life savings again. Sir Bruce will doubtless be glad of the money. He has an expensive mansion in Montego Bay, Jamaica, to keep up. And he lost his share of trust fund set up in 1912 by Sir Adolph Tuck after he broke its stipulation that all its heirs must only marry Jews. Sir Brian is married to a former "model" from Walthamstow.

"LAWFUL"

Under the existing system, the Judge could only end by counselling people to be on their guard against Tuck and his ilk: "So long as it remains lawful for any individual who chooses to do so to call himself a commodity broker or dealer, to tout for business from people either ignorant, timid or greedy, I hope that people who are so approached will react with the utmost caution."

The only final safeguard for ordinary Britons' savings against sharks like Tuck is to smash the Stock Market, the City and the whole rotten Capitalist system, shoot the speculators and the swindlers, and confine trading in commodity futures to those who actually produce commodities and those who actually consume them, according to the principles of honesty and fair trading.

Read

CANDOUR

The magazine started by the National Front's first chairman, A. K. Chesterton, is now available from the Nationalist Bookshop. Send 50p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

MARTIN WEBSTER

Trouble shooting

ON 20th December last year the Daily Mail *published an article by Andrew Alexander entitled "Stop these marching bully boys". The next day Martin Webster wrote a letter to the* Mail *in reply to some of the points raised in the article. Needless to say it was never published. The text of Martin Webster's letter is published here.*

DEMOCRACY OR TYRANNY

Dear Sir

Andrew Alexander's article "Stop these marching bully boys" (20th December) will massage the self-righteousness of two sections of the community: those who imagine that virtue and respectability lies in holding no opinion which might bring them into conflict with the Establishment; and the small number of people who are regularly granted access to the media and other facilities whereby they can express their views and influence society's thinking.

Otherwise, the article was oppressive of the rights of people who do hold strongly and sincerely held opinions which are in conflict with Establishment 'orthodoxy' and who are denied access to the media.

Mr. Alexander asks why people who engage in marches don't hold public meetings instead? In the case of the National Front, the reason why is because for the past ten years or so, virtually every local Council in the country either refuses to hire out 'public' meeting halls to use as "a matter of policy" (mostly Labour Councils) or will only hire us halls if we put up £300,000 + insurance bonds (mostly Conservative Councils).

Effectively, therefore, we are systematically denied one of the most fundamental democratic rights, the Right of Assembly. This oppression is intensifying. Last December I announced the intention of holding an open air public meeting in Brent (Brent Council refuses to hire us halls) to protest against the Council's "positive discrimination" policies which favour ethnic minorities at the expense of White people. Brent Council went to the High Court and, in an *'ex parte'* hearing got an unprecedented Court Order forbidding me to hold such a meeting during the currency of a Public Order Act ban on marches in the Borough. I was unrepresented at this hearing and had no funds to finance an appeal. Costs of £1,200 were awarded against me, and now the Council is threatening to bankrupt me for those costs... all due to my intention to hold a public meeting!

TARGET

The National Front is an especial target for this kind of persecution. Our Parliamentary election candidates are frequently denied their rights set out in Section 82 of the Representation of the People Act to hire from local Councils halls for the purpose of holding public election meetings. The pretexts given for such unlawful denials of our candidates' rights are either that the Councils suspect that the meetings will not be open to the general public – or that if we do not exercise some control over who is allowed access to the meetings then damage to property will result!

As breaches of Section 82 of the Act do not constitute a criminal offence, our candidate can only achieve redress through potentially very expensive Civil actions – if they have the time and the money before Polling Day to pursue them. On one occasion in 1978 the NF candidate in the Moss Side by-election did try to get a case before the Courts prior to Polling Day, only to be told that the case would not be set down for hearing until a date after Polling Day when no effective relief could be given!

The oppression of our Right of Assembly by local Councils not only obtains in the case of our proposed public meetings. We are even denied the right to hire halls even for private meetings – such as our Annual Conference or local Branch or Regional meetings. Halls are denied to us which are freely available not only to the Parliamentary parties, but to all manner of Marxist groups, the Sinn Fein and other anti-British organisations. During the past five years we have had to sue two Councils (Newham and Great Yarmouth) for breach of contract in respect of bookings for our 1977 and 1979 Annual Conferences.

'RENT-A-MOB'

When Mr. Alexander asked why people like us do not hold public meetings instead of marches, I can only presume that he was totally unaware of the denials of our fundamental democratic rights which are continuously perpetrated. We take to the streets more often than we would wish because we are **driven** on to them by tyrants. Once we propose to take to the streets our activists are immediately made the target of the Marxist 'rent-a-mob' (**not** "ordinary by-standers" who are spontaneously provoked). These organised mobs are, as often as not, encouraged – if not actually led by – members of the local Councils which deny us meeting halls.

In the light of these circumstances the Police and the local Council get together and apply to the Home Secretary for our marches to be banned under Section 3 of the Public Order Act. During the past three years more Public Order Act march bans have been imposed in respect of National Front marches than bans were imposed in respect of marches by **all** organisations during the past ten years. So we are denied halls and we are increasingly denied even the use of the streets. Can Mr. Alexander suggest which catacombs we might resort to in order to exercise in secret our Right of Assembly?

One final point Mr. Alexander must bear in mind: the current campaign to try and prevent minority parties (in particular, the National Front) from putting up candidates in Parliamentary elections. This campaign was instigated as a result of the NF's effort in putting up 303 candidates at the last General Election (surely a democratic exercise by the NF?). The cry now is for election deposits to be raised from £150 to £1,000 or more. Home Office Minister Timothy Raison told Parliament a few days ago that the Government would like to see deposits go up to £1,000. The House of Commons Select Committee on Home Affairs is currently taking evidence on this matter.

EXCLUSIVE PRESERVE

If such a proposal does go through it will be plain that the well-established and well-financed parties in Parliament (who already receive cash subsidies from the taxpayer) are manipulating the democratic system to try and make it an exclusive preserve for themselves. Is a three-party state three times more democratic than a one-party state? Is the right to contest in Parliamentary elections only to be possessed by the very rich – or those who are subsidised by the very rich? Is this the kind of tranquil democracy that Mr. Alexander is anxious to defend?

With public *(sic)* meeting halls systematically denied to us, with private meeting facilities denied to us, with our street activities subjected to Public Order Act bans or High Court Injunctions, with the mass media denying us any outlet – even to refute lies that are published about us, and with the Representation of the People Act about to be changed to prevent us from engaging in ballot box politics – not forgetting the regular prosecution of our publications under the Race Relations Act... just what kind of 'democracy' does Mr. Alexander think he defended by his article? What does he expect us to do in the face of this tyranny? Give up our political opinions and our desire to communicate them – and go away and be good quiet boys and girls?

We will not go away. We will not shut up. We will fight back against tyranny with whatever means are left open to us by the tyrants.

Yours faithfully

Martin Webster.

SOUTH AFRICAN PATRIOT

South Africa's leading racial nationalist magazine is now available from the National Front. Send 40p plus 15p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

ULSTER ECONOMICS

Dear *Nationalism Today*

I am writing to you concerning the National Front and its role in British Ulster. Many British citizens here are politically educated on constitutional and religious matters, while being mostly lacking in economic politics. The Loyalist D.U.P. and O.U.P. successfully represent Ulster's wish to remain British but do not offer the working people any strong policies on how to reduce our high unemployment. The National Front can fill this vacuum by leafletting and organising marches voicing Racial Nationalist policies aimed at putting the interests of the Ulster people and the people of Great Britain before profits, foreign investments and immigrants. The people of Ulster must be made aware of alternatives to capitalism and communism in Nationalism.

Many here in Ulster tend to think that high unemployment has been created by a 'world recession', ignoring the fact that Tory capitalism has played a major role in our plight. The people here have got to start campaigning to change our present economic problems, and just not sit in their houses accepting unemployment and poverty.

The National Front already has strong foundations in all Loyalist areas, especially among the youth so activities to publicise NF Racial Nationalist economic policies would be easy to organise.

Finally, I salute the NF supporters for taking action against Sinn Fein and other Marxist Republicans in Great Britain.

Yours,

An Ulster N.F. Loyalist,
Greenisland, Co. Antrim.

POLITICAL PRISONER

Dear Sir,

I am writing to say how much I agreed with Joe Pearce's article in *N.T.* no. 11. 'Prison Has Strengthened My Resolve'. In July I was sentenced to 6 months in a detention centre for shouting my distaste at a "Rock Against Racism" concert in Bradford. I was charged with threatening behaviour, yet all we shouted was slogans like "National Front is a Whiteman's Front" etc. A few days later at an N.F. anti-IRA demo a Labour councillor was arrested in similar circumstances. He was fined £10.

The Recorder told me that I was a "danger to society" and that coloured people "who are going about their daily business in a perfectly legal fashion" should be protected against the likes of me. Also that being a member of the NF in a town like Bradford is "like playing with matches in a powder keg".

The Establishment had obviously thought that by jailing me, they would make me give up politics. Yet, like Joe, I am more determined than ever to see this obscene capitalist system brought to its knees and destroyed.

FORWARD WITH THE NATIONAL REVOLUTION!

Kevin Watmough,
Bradford, West Yorks.

COMMUNISM

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

Congratulations on the production of the anti-Communist supplement contained in *NT* number 13. Readers should bear in mind Solzhenitsyn's view of Communism:

"To improve or correct Communism is not feasible. Communism is a trap from which no nation has ever escaped. No personal tyranny can compare with ideological Communism, since every personal tyrant attains a limit of power that satisfies him.

"But no single country is enough to satisfy a totalitarian Communist regime... it is not interested in the flourishing of a country, or in the health and welfare of its people. Its main goal is an irrational and fanatical urge to swallow the maximum amount of external territory and population, with the ideal limit the entire planet."

Much the same could be said about Capitalism.

Yours sincerely,

P. Hughes, Tenby, Wales.

"CHARITY"

Dear Sir,

Have you heard of the charity "Project Fullemploy"? Its President is Lord Seebohm and among the directors are:

Sir Geoffrey Wilson, Chairman of Oxfam.
J.D. Smith of W.H. Smith & Son.
R.C. Harvey of Barclays Bank Internat.

It has 31 major subscribers, including: Abbey National B.S., Ansells Ltd., Barclays Bank, Boots & Co., B.P., British Home Stores, Esso, Hambro Assurance, I.C.I., Legal & General, Marks & Spencer, Midland Bank, Nat. West., W.H. Smith, Tate & Lyle, United Biscuits.

It also has over 200 other subscribers including insurance companies, banks, finance companies, major manufacturers, London boroughs, the C.R.E. and others.

The major subscribers each contribute up to £120,000 annually.

A full page advert was placed in the *Financial Times* inviting other subscribers to volunteer. The aim of this charity over the next 3 years is to spend £4,900,000 on "underprivileged" ethnic minorities. To quote the advert:

"Invitation by Project Fullemploy Ltd., to subscribe to the private sector initiative to equip the most disadvantaged for work... The majority of whom are Black... to provide skills and hope to this section of the community."

Fullemploy was initiated in 1973 by a group of banks and financial institutions in the City of London. It has ten centres in Bristol, Birmingham and London, all designed to assist Blacks in various skills.

Remember that when you next drink your beer or whiskey, smoke your cigarette, bank your money, pay your mortgage, buy your food, top up with petrol, pay your life or car insurance, switch on your electric fire, turn on the water tap, and buy your clothes, you are assisting the alien horde in this country and the degenerate 'Whites' that nurture them.

Yours faithfully,

Bob Rice, Cornwall.

COMMON MARKET

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

The Common Market has brought us rocketing food prices, iniquitous taxes and the alienation of our kith and kin overseas. The traitors at Westminster have sold Britain's sovereignty to the faceless bureaucrats in Brussels.

It's about time Britain got out of the EEC. Our future lies with the countries of the White Commonwealth.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs. S. Chester, Kent.

DEFENCE FUND

Dear *NT*,

Through your columns, I would like to remind readers about the Militant Patriots Legal Defence and Aid Fund – the MPLDAF.

The purpose of the MPLDAF is to provide legal representation for NF members who are arrested on *bona fide* Party activities, to assist members to pay fines, and to assist dependent relatives of members who may be jailed.

With the growing attempt by the Establishment to repress the NF by means of unjustified arrests of Party activists, the work of the MPLDAF will become increasingly important.

However, the amount of help the MPLDAF can give is determined by the amount of donations it receives. Thus in order to aid the MPLDAF all patriots are asked to donate whatever they can to: The Treasurer, MPLDAF, 5 Kingsmead Avenue, Romford, Essex. (Cheques/PO's payable to MPLDAF).

Defend Those Who Defend You – Support The MPLDAF!

Yours, For Race and Nation,

Tom Acton, Treasurer MPLDAF.

Rite Up

COMMUNITY POLICING

THE recent scandal in which Metropolitan Police gunmen shot the wrong man when they opened up on an innocent Mini clearly shows that Common Market standards are imposed on Britain in policing as in so many other matters. Such incidents barely merit a mention among our Euro-'partners' across the Channel.

In West Germany, a moped rider who had forgotten to turn on his lights was shot and wounded by a policeman. In another recent incident, a motorist was shot dead by Police for driving the wrong way down a one way street. He is unlikely to do it again.

In France, the gunning down of innocent citizens and minor offenders by trigger-happy gendarmes is shrugged off as *"bavures"* – "imperfections". Suspects tend to be a trifle imperfect too, brought in with holes in them.

Italian cops shoot about three people a week for traffic offences. A spokesman described the motto of the Italian Law as "shoot first and ask questions afterwards". However, if you are nabbed without being perforated in the process there is an excellent chance of bribing the corrupt carabinieri to let you "escape". Hard luck if you're hard up, though. You can be locked away for months in medieval dungeons "undergoing interrogation" before you are even charged. Some of the charges were a bit unusual too: one British girl is currently on remand facing charges of "witchcraft", "casting spells" and "causing fires by magic".

So the Kensington shooting was no big deal by the standards of the Euro-cops. In fact, across the Channel poor old Stephen Waldorf would probably have been done for obstructing a Police bullet in the execution of its duty...

"STUFF THE MONARCHY"

THE recent funeral of the Black King Sobhuza II of Swaziland was attended by his Chief Wife, known to Court protocol as the Great She Elephant, his 100 other wives, his 600 children, and 20,000 Swazi tribesmen. The late Swazi Paramount Chief was paraded stuffed in sitting position inside a glass case before the assembled mourners, whilst a band played *Auld Lang Syne.*

"BALLS TO THE KING"

THAIS were urged to "have a vasectomy for the King" in Bangkok recently. Some 700 noble volunteers marked the 55th birthday of King Bhumibol Adulyadej by submitting to the unkindest cut of all at the hands of 36 doctors working in a cluster of tents and caravans near the Royal Palace. His Majesty is concerned at the over-rapid increase in the number of his loyal subjects. Hence these 'cuts' had to be made.



AGONY COLUMN

Dear Rite-Up,

I wonder if you would be good enough to advise me in regard to the following matters:

I am thirty-two years of age and have two brothers and two sisters. One of my brothers is a member of the S.D.P. and the other is serving a sentence of 7 years for arson and rape.

My two sisters are both on the streets and my father lives on their earnings. My mother is pregnant by the man next door, and my father has now decided to marry her.

I have recently met a very nice girl, an ex-prostitute, and I want to marry her, but at the same time I want to be fair to her.

This is my problem: Before asking her to marry me, should I tell her about my brother being in the S.D.P.?

Yours faithfully,

Worried, Southampton.

ARSE-SO

AN ASIATIC student living in London died in a flash fire while trying to relieve his piles with petrol!

Norik Hakpioan, 24, of Sloane Terrace, Kensington, died when the fumes from an open bottle of petrol were ignited by a cooker hotplate. His naked body was found with 90% burns.

Norik's brother, Hiak, told an inquest at St. Pancras that relieving piles with paraffin was an old family remedy. The coroner pointed out that using petrol in this way must be "the most lethal treatment recorded for many years."

TIMOTHY LOVES RACHAEL

TIMOTHY

RACHAEL

RITE-UP readers will recall that just over a year ago we brought you the touching tale of one Timothy Webb.

Mr. Webb, it will be remembered, was an Anti-Nazi official in Kings Lynn, Norfolk, as well as the secretary of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk Trade Council.

Mr. Webb created something of a stir when he announced at a Trade Council meeting that he was a transexual and planned to have a sex change. The news of his planned sexual transformation was not received in a sympathetic manner by his comrades, who, in a very uncomradely fashion, promptly voted to remove him from his position on the executive of the Trade Council.

But in a little over a year a lot has happened to Mr. Webb. He has undergone gender re-alignment therapy and, as our photographs show, is now able to present a more feminine face to the world. In keeping with his new image he has changed his name from Timothy to Rachael; and instead of driving articulated lorries for a living he/she/it is now an audio typist.

But life is not entirely a bed of roses for Rachael. Not only has he had to contend with the intolerant trades council members, but he has also had to put up with the taunts of skinheads in the streets.

And one final problem remains... Rachael has been told that due to lengthy NHS waiting lists he may have to wait up to four years for the final operation that will physically transform him from a him to a her.

Industrial Front

BRITISH SHIPPING ON THE ROCKS

UNION LEADERS BRIBED BY SHIPOWNERS

LEADERS of the National Union of Seamen have conspired with employers to undercut the wages of their members by importing cheap coloured labour. As a result of this underhand agreement between union and management, thousands of British merchant seamen have been made redundant.

New Life magazine reported that about 5,800 foreign seamen, mostly from India, are employed by British shipowners eager to take advantage of the low wages they are prepared to work for. This cheap immigrant labour is prepared to work for as little as **£78 a month**, compared with **£147 a week** for a member of Britain's National Union of Seamen. Shipowners have got away with this cut-price labour by paying more than £2 million over the past six years to the union, and union treasurer Sam McCluskie admitted in an interview with *The Guardian* that the payment could be seen as a bribe by the shipowners to allow this employment of coolie labour to continue while thousands of British seamen are on the dole.

The leadership of the NUS were clearly embarassed when their agreement with the shipowners was exposed. They decided that, in order to save face, a major policy change was necessary and on December 2nd last year they announced that a seperate fund was to be set up out of the £2 million given



to them by the employers. But any hope that this fund might be used to help the thousands of NUS members who had been thrown onto the dole as a direct result of the union's deal with management were very quickly dispelled. The fund would not benefit British workers at all but would be used "to fight racial discrimination against these Asian seamen"!

Mr Jim Slater, general secretary of the NUS, stated that the money will be used "to boost the Asians' wages" and that the union executive had "laid down a four-point plan to end this shameful discrimination". **So not only have the leadership of the NUS enabled employers to import cheap foreign labour at the expense of thousands of their members' jobs, but they are now actually subsidising the very slave labour which has thrown their members onto the dole!**

This is yet another example of corrupt union leaders coming to agreements with management that are utterly contrary to the best interests of their members. Only when union leadership is put in the hands of militant British workers determined to protect their members from cheap foreign labour will their wages and their jobs become fully secure.





OLD PARTIES WRECK MERCHANT NAVY

BRITISH-BUILT merchant ships are putting British merchant seamen on the dole and menacing Britain's defence capability. That has been the result of a "job saving triumph" proclaimed by then Labour leader James Callaghan at the 1977 Labour Conference. Callaghan boasted that "thanks to my personal intervention", Poland has placed a £115 million order with the newly-established State British Shipbuilders combine for merchant ships to be built on Tyneside. These Polish ships "would only operate on the Polish Baltic trade and would not take jobs away from British seamen," Callaghan went on.

What Callaghan didn't boast about was that his much vaunted "job-saving deal" was being paid for not by the Poles but by the British taxpayer. It emerged in 1980 that the "£115 million deal" had cost the British taxpayer £152 million instead!

And today those ships built free of charge for Poland are carrying British coal to France at rates far below any British carriers can economically offer. The Red Flag is undercutting the Red Ensign to such ruthless effect that British vessels have been all but driven off the traditional coal trade in favour of Polish ships. Thousands of British merchant seamen have been thrown onto the dole by Labour's great "job-saving triumph".

And that isn't all. The Poles and other Soviet satellites aren't simply taking advantage of their non-union forced labour to make a quick profit. They are, more sinisterly, deliberately undermining Britain's defence capacity. Thanks to Eastern bloc shippers running at a huge loss to get contracts, British vessels have been driven from the seas. Since 1975, our Merchant Fleet tonnage has fallen from 50 million to 27 million tons, and 45% of our seamen have been driven ashore. The result is that we no longer have the Merchant Fleet we need to supply ourselves in wartime. Even in the Falklands conflict, the Ministry of Defence was "just able" to put together the 54 Merchant vessels vital to the campaign's success. If Britain herself had been fighting for her life, we should have been in real trouble.

This cynical betrayal of British merchant seamen in the interests of buying Labour a few more votes is bitterly resented by many seamen. One redundant Newport seamen asked pointedly: "Arthur Scargill is forever proclaiming he is fighting for British trades unionists, for jobs for the British working man. Well, what about the jobs of British trades unionists being lost because of unfair competition carrying NUM coal?"

Our seamen, betrayed by Labour, need not look to the Tories. They regard the undercutting of British vessels by the Reds as an example of "market forces". So they are doing nothing about it. Britain's Merchant Navy is expected to shrink by another 3% in 1983. Only the National Front policy of economic nationalism, making sure British cargoes sail in British vessels in time of peace, and recognising the Merchant Navy as an important strategic element we will need in time of war, will save the merchant shipping industry.

TRADE UNIONISTS!

Keep Industrial Front informed! Send all Trade Union papers and journals to: N.T. (Industrial Front), 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

THE GENERAL ELECTION

BRIGHTON: READY AND WAITING

A series of articles by Ian Anderson focussing on individual branches and groups of the National Front.

SEA, sand, Brighton rock, the Pavillion and the promenade – a pleasant place to spend a weekend. But Brighton people also have a long tradition of patriotism and support for British nationalism. Today there is more reason for this than ever before.

Brighton is beginning to see the first waves of Black Immigrants moving south from London to occupy their town and create ghettoes. This inevitable process is bound to continue and increase over the next few years as the Blacks out-breed their living space in other areas. The traditional 'Brighton Front' with its whitewashed Victorian hotels and its pleasant squares is being desecrated by the erection of anonymous concrete and glass international hotel blocks by multinational conglomerates.

The only organisation in Brighton actively campaigning against the destruction of our racial and cultural heritage is the Brighton Branch of the National Front and they are fighting to win.

Hardly an opportunity is let slip to campaign on a local issue. When Whites were excluded from a Youth Training Programme reception Brighton N.F. campaigned against this discrimination, when the I.R.A. held a local meeting Brighton N.F. members engaged in 'meaningful dialogue' with these supporters of terrorists and murderers, when local unemployment started to soar they organised a 'British Jobs for British Workers' march through the town centre.

Similarly whenever local elections have arisen the National Front has been in there fighting every inch of the way – and it is in this field that Brighton Branch really excels. Over the last two years Brighton N.F. have fought a large number of local elections, often in areas where we would not expect too much support.

While the results have been mixed and in some areas they can only be described as poor, each campaign has been fought as hard as possible. There has always been at least one election address and nearly always one or sometimes two supplementary leaflets. The actual campaigns have often been very lively and have included loudspeakering and widespread postering.


No.18. SEPTEMBER 1982.
SUSSEX FRONT
THE JOURNAL OF THE NATIONAL FRONT IN SUSSEX.
Stop Press
NF to fight two seats
BOTH COUNCIL SEATS in the Kingscliff Ward of Brighton Kemp Town have become vacant on the death of Cllr.Sheldon.
The NF will be fighting both seats. Ian Taylor for the Borough Council and Caralyn Giles for the County Council.
Caralyn Giles E.Sussex Council
FRONT PICK UNION OFFICIAL FOR B'TON KEMP TOWN
"ROD FITCH IS GOD'S GIFT TO THE TORIES", Edward Budden, the NF's Prospective Parliamentary Candidate for Brighton Kemp Town told his audience.
Trotsky and the IRA
"At a time when the Tories are displaying their hatred and contempt for the British working man by slashing his standard of living and throwing him on the dole by forcing him to compete with cheap imports from Third World sweatshops, it is a joke that the purported champion of the working man is Rod Fitch, a Trotskyist and supporter of the I.R.A.".
AT LAST A MAN FOR THE WORKING FOLK

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE

In addition to this Brighton N.F. have their own newspaper in *Sussex Front* which acts as highly effective propaganda on local and national issues to the intense dismay of our opponents in Sussex. The latest leaflet produced by Brighton is a *Patriot* style leaflet which is to be distributed in the areas where they will be fielding local election candidates in May.

As a result of all this sustained work recent election results have become slightly more encouraging, the officers of the Branch have become well versed in election procedure and technique and the only local splinter group has been well and truly seen off.

The day to day work of promoting the National Front is not forgotten and apart from leafletting, regular paper sales are held at Brighton's Goldstone football ground. Attendance at the monthly branch meetings has been steadily increasing and the morale of the members is very high.

Most of the efforts of the Branch are, of course, now being directed towards the next general election and leaflets are being distributed in the areas to be fought. Because of the work that has been put in over the last two years and longer, Brighton Branch can look forward with some confidence to the forthcoming general election.

Their officers, such as their Organiser Ian Taylor and their chairman Ted Budden, have become well known to the people of Brighton, as have the policies of the National Front both in relation to national and local issues. Brighton Branch of the National Front can be certain of their ability to fight really first class campaigns when the election comes – can your Branch say the same?



FREE BOOK OFFER

ANY readers wishing to ensure that they receive *Nationalism Today* every time it comes out, should take advantage of the special new subscription offer.

By filling in the subscription form on the right you will not only ensure that every issue is despatched to you swiftly as soon as it is published, but you will also be sent a free copy of *The Destruction Of Dresden.*

The Destruction Of Dresden is a well-researched and very illuminating book about the allied bombing of Dresden in 1945. The author is **David Irving**, a highly respected historian who doesn't hesitate to show that the allies were responsible for a barbaric raid which was to leave more people dead than at either Hiroshima or Nagasaki.

Don't miss this special offer – send in your subscription now!

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for **12** issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: **£7.00** ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail **£7.30** ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail **£10.70** ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East **£11.30** ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa **£12.20** ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand **£14.20** ☐ Half rates for 6 issues.

Please add 50p to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers!

All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

BLOOD AND SOIL



STEVE BRADY

THE IDYLLIC Scottish Highland village of Knoydart is threatened with destruction thanks to the Capitalist system which has already depopulated much of the Highlands and destroyed much of the region's rich Gaelic culture. For, like many Highlanders, the people of Knoydart, by Loch Nevis, are mere tenants on the land their forebears have dwelled in for centuries. Their land is part of the 50,000 acre estate of Major Nigel Chamberlain-Macdonald, who shot and fished it now and again. Now the Major has put their land on the market. And the Ministry of Defence want to buy it as an artillery range. Of course, that will mean the villagers will be thrown out of their homes and their village destroyed. *The Scotsman* described the MoD plan as "an environmental outrage comparable to demolishing Edinburgh and Stirling castles".

But it will be nothing new. Tory landowners and Capitalists have already ravaged the Highlands. During the notorious 'Highland Clearances' of the last century hundreds of thousands of Highlanders were driven starving from their ancestral lands to make way for the deer and grouse shoots of millionaire 'lairds'. The entire northern third of Britain was turned into an unpeopled wasteland, and a proud and ancient culture smashed, to make a playground for a few rich Capitalists. Today most of the remaining Highlanders are tenants of wealthy landowners, some haw-hawing chinless wonders with double-barrelled monickers incorporating the names of the clans their ancestors betrayed. Others whose clans hearken from the deserts of the Levant.

This disaster was the result of the imposition of the Capitalist system, which allows a man to own vast tracts of land he cannot farm and may never see, buy and sell it at whim, and turn the people of that land off soil their forefathers have sunk roots into centuries deep. Before Capitalism came, the Highlands belonged to the clans. The land was the property not of the clan chief but of the entire clan, and being won in blood and tilled in sweat could not be bought or sold for gold. The same system, the 'odal-right', was enjoyed by our Germanic ancestors too. It recognised, as we must today, that the Land is not property to be hawked about in the market place but the sacred inheritance of the Race, to be husbanded with care, loved, cherished and if need be died for.

The right to land is not won by paying for a scrap of paper but by tilling the soil and harvesting its fruit, or warding the herds that graze it, and ultimately by being ready and willing to fight for it. The clans knew, as the Capitalists who supplanted them did not, that the only real wealth is not stocks, shares, banknotes or even gold, but land and children – the word 'clan' itself means 'children'.

Through all their privations, and the theft of their lands, the Highlanders stayed loyal to our nation. They did not seek a specious separatism, as the Irish did. The Highland regiments were the cream of the British Army even as the Clearances were at their height. Today the Scottish Highlanders, like the rest of the people of Britain, deserve their land back. **The time has come to sweep away the lairds and the big landowners and the 'agribusiness' corporations and give back the land to the people. Let Britain again be a nation of crofters and free yeoman farmers, on soil that is the inalienable right of those who till it. The land is ours: let's take it back!**

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 50p for information pack to:

NATIONAL FRONT
50 PAWSONS ROAD
CROYDON
SURREY CRO 2QF

ACHMAN REVISIT

e, management; and poor
he rare occasions when
done.

re closely at the Berger
importantly, let's look at
s who own it.
uilt up the empire be-
974. A "refugee" from
920s, he ran a factory
ries during World War II,
s into property. The first
lerton in 1944. In 1947
y expanded.
ue was to borrow the
lock of flats or row of
couple of years for the
preciate, then used the
security for a second
repay the first and the
used to buy more pro-

family became multi-
ut doing a single day's
ay their empire consists
on thousands of flats
hole of London. Open
ner Chris Hamnett has
ats in 34 blocks **in three**
alone – Camden, West-
gton and Chelsea. On top
Berger-owned company
tments) owns another 50
containing at least 2,500
total number of Berger-
known because of the
companies set up nomi-
properties. Something in
lats is probably the most

ED

business, as Sighismund
it helps if the full price
first place. Many blocks
ob lots from companies
n hard times. Others were
ped from undervalued

good at property specu-
pping he is not so good at
nants. Living in a Berger-
misery.
ves in Six Hall Road, a
ck in St John's Wood.
Investments bought the
sixties, but Mrs Phillips
bad decline started in
s. "Suddenly there were
on duty, the hallways
all regular maintenance

in Gunnersbury is one of



Berger's biggest blocks. It was bought for £1,200,000 in 1971 by Yorkbrooks Investments, a subsidiary of Reliable Properties, one of Berger's two public companies. The owners have done no major works whatsoever since they bought it. The heating is unreliable, the hallways are in a poor state, there are various leaks, damp patches and rotted floorboards.

The tenants of Thurleigh Court in Clapham are living in appalling conditions. The distinctive thirties architecture of the block merely serves to illustrate its state of disrepair. The fifty-two flats have been owned by Berger companies for thirty-five years and a few tenants can remember repairs being done. The catalogue of decay is depressingly long: dampness, leaking roofs, rusty window frames, falling plaster and dangerous wiring. An electricity board official, commenting on the wiring in the block, said: "What you really need is a fire to show how dangerous it is."

TENANTS SUFFER

Tenants suffer from frequent loss of the services which the landlords have contracted to provide: heating, hot water supply, lifts and cleaning of the shared areas. Garry Strudwick, a founder member of the Thurleigh Court Action Group, says: "One woman had to be carried down from the third floor twice a week to go to hospital because the lift didn't work. Several ceilings have fallen down and some flats are shockingly cold." Indeed, last October the landlords failed to turn on the heating so the tenants have had to spend the long winter months in the cold.

One lift has been out of action for over a year, the leaks remain unplugged, the dampness uncured. "The only answer to our problems is to be allowed to take on the building ourselves as a co-op," said Garry Strudwick, but with no government money available and a reactionary Tory council, there's little likelihood of that happening.

The tangled web of the Berger property empire spans hundreds of companies. Family head Sighismund Berger, himself a director of 173 companies, uncle Hasias Laufer is on 121 companies, brother-in-law Heinrich Feldman 81, Samuel Berger 63, and Berish Berger 54.

A number of these companies (reckoned to total 350 by the *Evening News* in 1972)

are in fact "ch
"charitable" statu
of the intricate p
ship. These "char
of the Berger f
beliefs. They are
Bergers justify th
their thousands of

The "charities"
sixties. They are
ciation, the Shule
Truedene. Their
ostensibly to pr
ism" through sup
gious schools in N

"DONATIONS"

Of the three,
Truedene present
ingly realistic pi
through rents. In
"donations" (in c
its property owni
ments) of £928,21

Income from th
tion is less easy
contravention of
"charity" compa
accounts at the
Office since 197
amounted to o
curiously low fi
investments inclu
public (i.e. st
companies Reliab
ston Investment T

The governors c
the same – Sighi
Berger, Hasias La
called Milton Gr
own or control
outright, but op
system of holdin
and subsidiaries o

Why is the B
complex and intr
inspector and the
nation, but their
religious beliefs
realistic, if not sur

Profits from p
of Berger tenants
into one or othe
"charities" exist
sect, an aim so vi
go to extraordi
support for it.

The Sotmars
Chassidic sect, pa
movement which
in the 18th Centu

This centuries-o
the dress of the S
in style of the F